

Thermo-Mechanical-Optical Modelling for Laser-Driven Fusion

G. Friedman, C. Paradis, D. Hammond, J. Thoma, A. Loescher, G. Le Touzé,

B. Le Garrec, J. Gaffney, P. Patel, G. Chériaux

Gavin Friedman

Head of Optical Modelling, Laser Development COMSOL - 2025 Czechia/Slovakia User Group Mtg IFE Breakthroughs have burst open the door for Commercial Laser Fusion Power







Laser R&D laboratories

Increased development speed and access to worldwide talent pool





Engineering and physics demonstration

- 2x 800J laser systems * 1 shot/min
- **Target area** *
- **Plasma diagnostics** *







Federal Ministr of Education and Research







Our Fusion Approach – Direct-Drive Compression with modern Inertial Fusion Energy (IFE) Lasers



The Focused Energy Roadmap



Laser-driven Inertial Fusion Energy: The Next Generation





- Experimentally proven gain, Q_{sci}>1
- Standing on the shoulders of giants built with mature, validated solid-state laser technology
- Known bottleneck b kJ beamlines historically driven by highly-inefficient, performance-degrading flashlamp drivers
- Switch to Diodes will be muchheralded win for both efficiency and optical performance

Fusion Power Plant Architecture: From Diode to Deuterium





Recirculating Power Schematic of a Fusion Power Plant



**Numbers for Illustrative Purposes only **

Efficiency gains help derisk target physics for FoaK Facility



OCUSED

Competing Drive Pulse Shapes to be tested by Targetry Division



Laser requirements

- MJ-class facility
- Thousands of kJ-class laser systems
 - > High volume for mass manufacturing
 - Reduced aperture to relieve stress on supply chain
 - Power balance, smoothing
- ✤ 10 Hz repetition rate
 - Multi-kW average power
- Large spectral bandwidth
 - As part of beam smoothing for laser imprint and LPI mitigation
- Precise temporal pulse shaping
- >10% wall-plug efficiency



Our Lasers: Cutting-edge light sources and cooling architectures, yet to be seen at scale on existing IFE systems





IFE Laser Amplifier Elements



Pump/Excitation Source

Diode or Flashlamp Arrays



Why COMSOL?

- Custom Ray Tracers exist BUT Propagation models inside materials specific to common industry application (fiber optics, GRIN Lenses)
 - Apply use-case specific simplifications (e.g. Axisymmetric or Small Angle Assumption)
- High Power Laser Amplifier Design is highly multidisciplinary
 - Electrical, Thermal, Mechanical, Design for Manufacturability, Optics (Ray+Wave), PDEs for Custom Energy Evolution, and more
- Codes developed in past are 30 years old and written in FORTRAN, sometimes C++



Laser Heat Sources are mix of intrinsic Quantum Energetics and Realities of Engineering Hardware





- Lasers use multiple Energy Levels with one slow "forbidden" transition to achieve Inversion
- Necessitates Exciting lons to Pump Band with Higher Energy than Terminal Level creating Excess Heat
- Energetics Modelled on us timescale to get precise picture of Inversion during Laser Transit

Laser Heat Sources are mix of intrinsic Quantum Energetics and Realities of Engineering Hardware



Amplifier Thermo-mechanical-optical behavior drives laser quality

Effect 1: Wavefront and Focusability

- \rightarrow Amplifier Wavefront or Optical Phase Distortion S(x,y)
 - $S_{ray} = \oint n * \vec{r} \to ds = r * dn + n * dr$ • Sum of index (r*dn) and material path (n*dr) changes
 - $n_{ij} = n_0 + \frac{\partial n}{\partial T} * \Delta T(\vec{r}) + \sum \left(\frac{\partial n_{ij}}{\partial \sigma_{kl}}\right)_{ijkl} * \sigma_{kl}(\vec{r})$ 3x3 Index Tensor based on Polarization (ij)
- \rightarrow The Index Sources r * dn
 - Stress-Induced Refractive Index Changes: $\sum \left(\frac{\partial n_{ij}}{\partial \sigma_{kl}}\right)_{iikl} * \sigma_{kl}(\vec{r})$
 - Thermal stress •
 - Mechanical Mounting
 - Thermally-induced Refractive Index Changes $\frac{\partial n}{\partial T} * \Delta T(\vec{r})$
- \rightarrow The Pathlength Sources n * dr
 - CTE Expansion
 - Index- and CTE-Induced Focusing \rightarrow New Ray Paths ٠

How does wavefront effect laser quality?

- Optical techniques can only remove a portion of the distortion
- Residual phase reduces size of the final focus \rightarrow Lower Intensity



Amplifier Thermo-mechanical-optical behavior drives laser quality

Effect 2: Depolarization and birefringence

→ Polarization - $\vec{P}(x,y)$

• Local Stress Tensors rotate local Optical Tensors, often aligning themselves with the Stress Principle Axes



- Index Tensor (n) = Stress-Optic (B) * Stress Tensor (σ)
- Local Index Tensor misalignment with Polarization Axis will cause Local Birefringence and Depolarization
- Secondary Beams can result from this misalignment
- Secondary Beams will not survive optical propagation and is lost energy (Lower Fusion Driver Efficiency)

How does Polarization effect laser quality?

- Laser is optimized for single polarization
- Other polarizations will be quickly removed --> Energy loss



Segregated Solver to capture complete chain of events from pump to propagation



"Bending" of Light media requires fine time-stepping within Domain



COMSOL Solves Spectral Version of Eikonal Equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dk}{dt} = -\frac{d\omega}{dr} \\ \frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{d\omega}{dk} \end{cases} \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} kx \\ ky \\ kz \\ rx \\ ry \\ rz \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{d\omega}{dx} \\ -\frac{d\omega}{dy} \\ -\frac{d\omega}{dz} \\ -\frac{d\omega}{\partial n} \frac{\partial n}{\partial y} \\ -\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial n} \frac{\partial n}{\partial y} \\ -\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial$$

- Equation is only valid for small λ
 - This is generally satisfied for optical wavelengths of interest.

• Ignores any effects from diffraction, interference, and polarization (Wave Optics Module)

- Light rays cross without interaction
- Cannot intrinsically capture interference physics of coatings (e.g. multilayer dielectrics)
- Misprediction of far-fields, will not capture diffraction limits at focus
- Intrinsically assumes a scalar refractive index, independent of polarization
- Assumes infinitesimal extent, or zero thickness, for a ray at any given instant
- Objects must be much larger than the optical wavelength

Laser Media requires Tensor Analysis for each local Crystal Axes and k-vector



Freq. Doubling Crystals also critical multiphysics process to be modelled

• Shorter Wavelength light (more blue) couples farther into the Target Plasma

•
$$n_c = \frac{m_e}{4\pi e^2} \omega_{Laser}^2$$

- Frequency Doubling Crystals used as penultimate optical step before focus and fusion
 - Temperature Dependent Efficiency







Microchannels inherit distortions from Optics – Moving Mesh Implemented

- Treats Domain as "Rubber" that expands according to Boundary Conditions inherited from Solid Mechanics problem
 - Minimizes Strain Energy W

 $W = \frac{1}{2} \int\limits_{\Omega} C_1 (I_1 - 3) + C_2 (I_1 - 3)^2 + C_3 (I_1 - 3)^3 + \kappa (J - 1)^2 dV$

 Necessary to model since laser travels THRU microchannel and must have sub-micron accuracy of the optical pathlength

$$OPD = \sum OPD_{Fluid} + \sum OPD_{Solid}$$

- Light Propagation solved in Material Frame as denoted by "Include Geometric Nonlinearity"
 - Surface locations, refractive index, pathlengths evaluated in Deformed Mesh

 $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{X}, t) = \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{X}, t)$





Propagation with Just Solid Mechanics

Propagation with Dynamic Fluid Domains



→ Well documented Canonical Case – Simulate-able in Many Commercial Codes









Code benchmarking relative to known performance of existing Simulation Codes



Code benchmarking relative to known performance of existing Simulation Codes



Complex Refractive Index provides Option for Simultaneous Absorption/Gain Modelling

Refractive Index

Label:

Name: rfi

Refractive index

 Resolution of k term as frequency dependent complex number leveraged to include Gain as Laser Performance Metric

9[m])*6e-5

Output Properties $E = E_0 e^{i\omega t} e^{-in_0 k_0 z} e^{-\kappa k_0 z}$ Property Expression Variable Refractive index, real part n(c_const/freq)+root. n_iso ;... Refractive index, imaginary part ki_iso ;... n_imag(c_const/freg)-Time=5E-11 s Surface: Dependent variable E media (J/cm³) Ray trajectories Line Graph: comp1.mat3.rfi.n_imag(x*1e-9[m])-mat3.rfi.EmX(x*1e-9[m])*6e-5 ×10⁻¹ Abs. Bands **Emission Bands** 200 1000 1100 1200 700 Frequency (nm) (m) -8 -6 -4 -2

Future code benchmarking relative to known performance of existing actively-cooled lasers

CNE400 Pump Laser – Saclay, FR

Flashlamp-Pumped – 400J @ 1054nm



L4-Aton Laser – Prague, CZ

Flashlamp-Pumped – 1500J @ 1054nm



→ Each laser equivalent to 1 of the N Lasers required to compress the fuel pellet for a Laser Fusion Power Plant

 \rightarrow Next generation of Amplifiers builds upon and extends the performance

Additional Projects: Physics-Informed Discovery of Optimal Power Plant Parameters (Dr. Gaffney and Team)



Inputs:

- □ Laser specs (energy, pulse shape, ł)
- □ Wall-plug and absorption efficiency

Cost / kJ

- □ Repetition Rate
- □ Cost / target

Outputs:

J

All reactor quantities, but in particular:

- Power output
- Total capital cost
- Cost of electricity





Thank you kindly for your attention and collaboration!



Active Positions at www.focused-energy.co/careers